



NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

HPCC Program

IT Security Plan

Issue Date:
June 14, 2000

HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING AND COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM

IT SECURITY PLAN

Approved by:

Eugene L. Tu
HPCC Program Manager
Ames Research Center

William R. Van Dalsem
HPCC Deputy Program Manager
Ames Research Center

Concurred by:

Catherine Schulbach, Project Manager
Computational Aerospace Sciences

James Fischer, Project Manager
Earth and Space Sciences

Robert Ferraro, Project Manager
Remote Exploration and Experimentation

Kenneth Freeman, Project Manager
NASA Research and Education Network

Mark Leon, Project Manager
Learning Technologies

Daniel S. Katz, Lead
Application Integration Management Team

Jerry C. Yan, Lead
System Software Integration Management
Team

Raphael R. Some, Lead
Testbed Integration Management Team

HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING AND COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM

IT SECURITY PLAN

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| INTRODUCTION AND PROGRAM BACKGROUND | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Program Background | 1 |
| SCOPE | 3 |
| 2.1 Scope of NASA HPCC Program IT Security Plan | 3 |
| PRIMARY SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION | 4 |
| 3.1 Primary Systems | 4 |
| IT SECURITY RISKS | 6 |
| 4.1 IT Security Risk Identification and Classification | 6 |
| IT SECURITY RISK REDUCTION AND CONTINGENCY POLICIES | 12 |
| 5.1 Risk Reduction and Contingency Approaches | 12 |
| 5.2 Risk Reduction and Contingency Policies and Activities Identification | 12 |
| IT SECURITY PLAN REVIEW AND UPDATE | 23 |

IT SECURITY PLAN HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING AND COMMUNICATIONS (HPCC)

INTRODUCTION AND PROGRAM BACKGROUND

1.1 Introduction

This document is the IT Security Plan for the NASA High Performance Computing and Communications (HPCC) Program. This is the controlling document that defines the top-level IT security plans for the HPCC Program. This IT Security Plan is compliant with the IT security requirements of NASA Programs as defined in NASA NPG 2810.1, Section 2.4.1.

1.2 Program Background

The goal of the NASA HPCC Program is to:

Accelerate the development, application, and transfer of high-performance computing and computer communications technologies to meet the engineering and science needs of the U.S. aerospace, Earth and space science, spaceborne research, and education communities, and to accelerate the distribution of these technologies to the American Public.

Applications in the areas of Earth science, space science, aerospace technology, and education are used as drivers of HPCC's computational and communications technology research, providing the requirements context for the work that is done.

As a cross-cutting multi-enterprise initiative, the HPCC Program receives funds from the Aerospace Technology (AT), Space Science (SS), and Earth Science (ES) Enterprises, and the Office of Human Resources and Education.

The HPCC Program is coordinated through the Aerospace Technology Enterprise and is managed by NASA Ames Research Center. The Program has supporting work at nine NASA field centers and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) and is organized into five Projects:

- Computational Aerospace Sciences (CAS)
- Earth & Space Sciences (ESS)
- Remote Exploration and Experimentation (REE)
- Learning Technologies (LT)
- NASA Research and Education Network (NREN)

Further information regarding the HPCC Program and Projects may be found in the HPCC PCA, Program Plans, and Project Plans.

SCOPE

2.1 Scope of NASA HPCC Program IT Security Plan

In compliance with NASA's IT security requirements, this HPCC IT Security Plan:

1. Identifies the primary HPCC IT systems
2. Identifies and characterize IT security risks
3. Identifies risk reduction and contingency plans that address the high risk exposure IT security risks

All medium and low risk exposure IT security risks identified in this document, or identified in Project-level documentation, may be addressed in either this document or Project-level documentation.

PRIMARY SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION

3.1 Primary Systems

The primary IT systems currently used within the HPCC Program are identified in Table 1:

| System | HPCC Projects Using System | Performing Organization Responsible for Maintaining System IT Security |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Lomax (512-Processors SGI Origin) | CAS ESS | Numerical Aerospace Simulation Systems Division NASA Ames Research Center IT Security POC: David Tweten |
| Steger (256-Processors SGI Origin) | CAS ESS | Numerical Aerospace Simulation Systems Division NASA Ames Research Center IT Security POC: David Tweten |
| Hopper (64-Processors SGI Origin) | CAS ESS | Numerical Aerospace Simulation Systems Division NASA Ames Research Center IT Security POC: David Tweten |
| Sharp (24-processor SGI Origin) | CAS | NASA Glenn Research Center Computer Service Division IT Security POC: Kimberly Johnson |
| Whitcomb (16-processor SGI Origin) | CAS | NASA Langley Research Center Computational Aerosciences Team IT Security POC: Dave Rudy |
| Mass Storage System (680 Petabytes of StorageTek Robots) | CAS ESS | Numerical Aerospace Simulations Systems Division NASA Ames Research Center IT Security POC: David Tweten |
| Jsimpson (1380-Processor Cray T3E) | ESS | Earth and Space Data Computing Division NASA Goddard Space Flight Center IT Security POC: Pat Gary |
| theHive (255-Processor Beowulf Cluster) | ESS | Earth and Space Data Computing Division NASA Goddard Space Flight Center IT Security POC: Pat Gary |
| REE Level Zero Testbed (11-Node PowerPC Cluster) | REE | Avionic Equipment Section (344) NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory IT Security POC: John M. Davidson |
| REE First Generation Testbed | REE | Avionic Equipment Section (344) |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| (20-Node PowerPC System with High-Bandwidth Low-Power Interconnect) | | Jet Propulsion Laboratory IT Security POC: John M. Davidson |
|---|--|--|

Table 1: Primary HPCC IT Systems (continued).

| System | HPCC Projects Using System | Performing Organization Responsible for Maintaining System IT Security |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| NREN Backbone | NREN | Numerical Aerospace Simulation Systems Division NASA Ames Research Center IT Security POC: Dave Guevara |
| LT LEARN Web Server (Sun Solaris Server) | LT | HPCC-LT NASA Ames Research Center IT Security POC: Alan Federman |

Table 1: Primary HPCC IT Systems (concluded).

IT security risks identified in the following section are primarily related to the above systems or ancillary systems which support the use of these systems.

IT SECURITY RISKS

4.1 IT Security Risk Identification and Classification

Risks to each appropriate category of IT resources (as defined by NASA NPG 2810.1) are identified and classified in Table 3. The impact and probability of each risk to each of the HPCC Projects is presented.

The impact of a risk is considered to be the impact to the overall program goal and objectives if the risk event were to occur. The probability of a risk is estimated in view of the state of current information technologies, community-wide standard IT security practices, and the estimated likelihood that parties will access and/or damage resources or events will damage resources.

A total risk exposure is assigned based on the risk impact and risk probability as follows:

| Impact- Probability Values | Total Risk Exposure |
|---|--------------------------------|
| High-High High-Medium Medium-High | High |
| High-Low Medium-Medium Low-High | Medium |
| Medium-Low Low-Medium Low-Low | Low |

Table 2: Evaluation of total risk exposure.

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Risk Impact | Risk Probability | Total Risk Exposure | Explanations |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
| Data and Information | Inappropriate data access | CAS | High | High | High | CAS application work includes data which may be proprietary, competitively sensitive, or restricted via ITAR or EAR regulations. |
| | | ESS | Medium | Medium | Medium | ESS application work includes data which may be competitively sensitive in an academic setting. |
| | | REE | Low | Medium | Low | REE has no “data” per se, except perhaps some proprietary vendor information, although this asset largely falls under “software” |
| | | NREN | Medium | Low | Low | NREN has network configuration data that could include non-disclosure vendor information |
| | | LT | Low | Low | Low | LT educational content is generally publicly accessible |
| | Data loss or corruption | CAS | High | Medium | High | CAS applications perform large computations that could be difficult to reproduce |
| | | ESS | Medium | Medium | Medium | ESS applications perform large computations that could be difficult to reproduce. |
| | | REE | Low | Medium | Low | See comments under “Inappropriate Data Access” |
| | | NREN | Medium | Medium | Medium | NREN has network management and network configuration data that could be difficult to reproduce |
| | | LT | Medium | Medium | Medium | LT educational content archives could be difficult to reproduce |

Table 3: Risk identification and evaluation (continued).

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Risk Impact | Risk Probability | Total Risk Exposure | Explanations |
|-----------------------|---|---------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|---|
| Software and Firmware | Inappropriate software or firmware access | CAS | High | High | High | CAS application and systems software include software which may be proprietary, competitively sensitive, or restricted via ITAR or EAR regulations. |
| | | ESS | Medium | Medium | Medium | ESS application and systems software include software which may be competitively sensitive in an academic setting. |
| | | REE | High | Medium | High | REE Software includes ITAR and competition sensitive assets. |
| | | NREN | Medium | Medium | Medium | NREN applications come with licensing restrictions |
| | | LT | Low | Medium | Low | LT applications come with licensing restrictions for numbers of users |
| | Software or firmware loss or corruption | CAS | Medium | Low | Low | Loss of software or firmware may make computer systems difficult or impossible to use until the software is recreated, rebuilt, or reinstalled. |
| | | ESS | Medium | Low | Low | Loss of software or firmware may make computer systems difficult or impossible to use until the software is recreated, rebuilt, or reinstalled. |
| | | REE | High | Medium | High | Permanent loss of REE software (e.g., SIFT components) could be devastating to the project. |
| | | NREN | Medium | Low | Low | NREN uses primarily adapted COTS which can be restored, albeit with some delay |
| | | LT | Medium | Low | Low | LT uses primarily adapted COTS which can be restored, albeit with some delay |

Table 3: Risk identification and evaluation (continued).

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Risk Impact | Risk Probability | Total Risk Exposure | Explanations |
|-------------------------|--|---------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|---|
| Communication equipment | Inappropriate communication equipment access | CAS | High | Medium | High | Communications could be degraded or lost, or sensitive data could be compromised. |
| | | ESS | Low | Medium | Low | Communications could be degraded or lost, or sensitive data could be compromised. |
| | | REE | Low | Low | Low | (For system security breaches, see “Computers and Ancillary Equipment”) |
| | | NREN | High | Medium | High | NREN has network configuration data that could include non-disclosure vendor information |
| | | LT | Low | Low | Low | Physical security and access control systems are in place |
| | Communication equipment failure | CAS | High | Medium | High | Ability to access computer systems could be lost, delaying work. |
| | | ESS | Medium | Medium | Medium | Ability to access computer systems could be lost, delaying work. Most ESS Investigators are external to NASA centers and depend on network access to attain ESS goals. |
| | | REE | High | Low | Medium | REE has a large community of external users, both for development of applications and of system software and tools. External access is vital to their participation and to the attainment of project goals. |
| | | NREN | High | Medium | High | NREN R&D is highly-dependent on network availability, other than short term inaccessibility could delay project deliverables |
| | | LT | High | Medium | High | LT is highly-dependent on network availability, other than short term inaccessibility could impact outreach activities |

Table 3: Risk identification and evaluation (continued).

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Risk Impact | Risk Probability | Total Risk Exposure | Explanations |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| Computers and ancillary equipment | Inappropriate computer access | CAS | High | Medium | High | Inappropriate computer access could result in inappropriate access to, corruption of, or loss of data and software. |
| | | ESS | Medium | Medium | Medium | Inappropriate computer access could result in inappropriate access to, corruption of, or loss of data and software. |
| | | REE | High | Medium | High | Inappropriate access could result in a violation of ITAR restrictions or the dissemination of competition sensitive software and information. |
| | | NREN | Low | Low | Low | Inappropriate access could result in a violation of vendor non-disclosure agreements |
| | | LT | Low | Low | Low | Physical security and access control systems are in place |
| | Computer equipment degradation or failure | CAS | Medium | Medium | Medium | Failure of computer equipment could result in significant delays in work. |
| | | ESS | High | Low | Medium | Failure of computer equipment could result in significant delays in work. |
| | | REE | High | Low | Medium | Failure of computer equipment could cause significant delays in the project. Fortunately, the probability of this is low. |
| | | NREN | Low | Low | Low | Failure of computer equipment could cause significant delays in the project. |
| | | LT | Low | Low | Low | LT uses standard, replaceable hardware and ancillary equipment |

Table 3: Risk identification and evaluation (continued).

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Risk Impact | Risk Probability | Total Risk Exposure | Explanations |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|---|
| Facilities that house IT resources | Inappropriate facility access | CAS | Medium | Medium | Medium | Inappropriate facility access could result in damage to equipment, and possibly data and software. Loss of equipment, data, or software would impact work schedule. |
| | | ESS | Medium | Medium | Medium | Inappropriate facility access could result in damage to equipment, and possibly data and software. Loss of equipment, data, or software would impact work schedule. |
| | | REE | Medium | Low | Low | The probability of inappropriate access is low. |
| | | NREN | Medium | Medium | Medium | NREN has network configuration and vendor software data that could include non-disclosure vendor information |
| | | LT | Medium | Medium | Medium | LT product dissemination could be halted or slowed by inappropriate access |
| | Facilities damaged or destroyed | CAS | High | Low | Medium | Damage or destruction of computer facilities would cause major disruption in performance of work, causing significant delays. |
| | | ESS | High | Low | Medium | Damage or destruction of computer facilities would cause major disruption in performance of work, causing significant delays. |
| | | REE | High | Low | Medium | Destruction of testbed equipment would cause unrecoverable loss. However, the probability, e.g., of fire, flooding, etc., is small. |
| | | NREN | Low | Low | Low | Destruction of testbed equipment would cause unrecoverable loss. |
| | | LT | Low | Low | Low | LT can be served from alternate NASA Centers |
| | | | | | | |

Table 3: Risk identification and evaluation (concluded).

IT SECURITY RISK REDUCTION AND CONTINGENCY POLICIES

5.1 Risk Reduction and Contingency Approaches

Risk reduction strategies of any IT security risk identified as having a total risk exposure of "High" are presented in this Program-level document. All remaining risk identified in this document, or identified in Project-level documentation, may be addressed in either this document or Project-level documentation.

In accordance with NPG 2810.1, program management is responsible for identifying IT security risks and insuring that performing organizations and line-management have implemented procedures to reduce these risks. Risk reduction policies and activities are, therefore, primarily captured in the IT security plans maintained by the performing organizations.

In addition, performing organization contingency policies and activities are identified for each risk. Contingency policies and activities describe arrangement that have been made and the steps that will be taken to continue system operations in the event of risk occurrence.

5.2 Risk Reduction and Contingency Policies and Activities Identification

The mapping of identified risks to risk reductions and contingency policies and activities is presented in Table 4:

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Total Risk Exposure | Risk Reduction Policies and Activities | Contingency Policies and Activities |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|---------------------|---|--|
| Data and Information (continued) | Inappropriate data access | CAS | High | Security model developed and implemented. Systems isolated from web access, password protection, systems monitoring/scanning, publication and enforcement of security policies. Access by non-US citizens screened. | NAS Policy 16—Incident Response. Policy 16: requires that we investigate potential compromises sufficiently to determine that they really are compromises, that we then call in Code JT and the Inspector General to collect evidence, and that we not re-install the software and put the machine back on the net until they are done with it. |
| | | ESS | Medium | Security model developed and implemented. Systems isolated from web access, password protection, systems monitoring/scanning, publication and enforcement of security policies. Access by non-US citizens screened. | Recover as described in GSFC Code 930 AIS Contingency Plan, May 2000, especially Section 4.1 and Appendix A. |
| | | REE | Low | Data will be protected by restricting access to REE facilities and testbeds. | The cause of the inappropriate access will be identified and preventive measures will be taken against future breaches. |
| | | NREN | Low | Data will be protected by restricting access to NREN facilities and testbeds. | The cause of the inappropriate access will be identified and preventive measures will be taken against future breaches. |
| | | LT | Low | Educational outreach data is publicly accessible. System administrators are trained to observe "unusual" accesses | LT reports any unusual access to the Operations Center and restores from last back-up or archive when authorized to. |

Table 4: Risk reduction and contingency policy and activities identification (continued).

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Total Risk Exposure | Risk Reduction Policies and Activities | Contingency Policies and Activities |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------------------|--|--|
| Data and Information (concluded) | Data loss or corruption | CAS | High | Adherence to operational procedures, routine performance of backups, safe storage of backup data, monitoring, and preventive maintenance of equipment. | Restoration from backups. |
| | | ESS | Medium | Adherence to operational procedures, routine performance of backups, safe storage of backup data, monitoring, and preventive maintenance of equipment. | Restore from backups as described in GSFC Code 930 AIS Contingency Plan, May 2000, especially Section 4.3. |
| | | REE | Low | Data will be protected by routine backups and safe storage of backups. | Data will be restored from the most recently backed up archival storage. |
| | | NREN | Medium | Data is protected by routine backups and safe storage of backups. | Restore from last back-up |
| | | LT | Medium | Back-ups and archiving | Restore from last back-up |

Table 4: Risk reduction and contingency policy and activities identification (continued).

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Total Risk Exposure | Risk Reduction Policies and Activities | Contingency Policies and Activities |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------|---------------------|---|--|
| Software and Firmware (continued) | Inappropriate software or firmware access | CAS | High | Security model developed and implemented. Systems isolated from web access, password protection, systems monitoring/scanning, publication and enforcement of security policies. Access by non-US citizens screened. | NAS Policy 16—Incident Response. Policy 16: requires that we investigate potential compromises sufficiently to determine that they really are compromises, that we then call in Code JT and the Inspector General to collect evidence, and that we not re-install the software and put the machine back on the net until they are done with it. |
| | | ESS | Medium | Security model developed and implemented. Systems isolated from web access, password protection, systems monitoring/scanning, publication and enforcement of security policies. Access by non-US citizens screened. | Recover as described in GSFC Code 930 AIS Contingency Plan, May 2000, especially Section 4.1 and Appendix A. |
| | | REE | High | ITAR and competition sensitive items will be protected by restricting access to REE facilities and to the REE testbed. | The cause of the inappropriate access will be identified and preventive measures will be taken against future breaches. |
| | | NREN | Medium | Software and firmware items will be protected by restricting access to NREN facilities and to the NREN testbed | The cause of the inappropriate access will be identified and preventive measures will be taken against future breaches. |
| | | LT | Low | System software and firmware are protected by user access controls. | Report unusual access to the Operations Center Restore software or firmware based on back-ups or sparge when authorized to. |

Table 4: Risk reduction and contingency policy and activities identification (continued).

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Total Risk Exposure | Risk Reduction Policies and Activities | Contingency Policies and Activities |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Software and Firmware (concluded) | Software or firmware loss or corruption | CAS | Low | Adherence to operational procedures, routine performance of backups, safe storage of backup data, monitoring, and preventive maintenance of equipment. | Restoration from backups. |
| | | ESS | Low | Adherence to operational procedures, routine performance of backups, safe storage of backup data, monitoring, and preventive maintenance of equipment. | Restore from sources as described in GSFC Code 930 AIS Contingency Plan, May 2000, especially Section 4.3. |
| | | REE | High | Routine backups will be performed and archival copies kept of all project-critical software and firmware. | Software will be restored from the most recently backed up archival copies. |
| | | NREN | Low | Routine backups will be performed and archival copies kept of all project-critical software and firmware. | Software will be restored from the most recently backed up archival copies. |
| | | LT | Low | Use of Common Off The Shelf (COTS) software | Restore software or firmware |

Table 4: Risk reduction and contingency policy and activities identification (continued).

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Total Risk Exposure | Risk Reduction Policies and Activities | Contingency Policies and Activities |
|---|---|----------------|----------------------------|--|---|
| Communi- cation equipment equipment (continued) | Inappropriate communication equipment access | CAS | High | Restricted access to facilities, configuration management processes. | Network traffic patterns are constantly monitored. When scans or denial of service attacks are detected, we activate perimeter router packet filters. |
| | | ESS | Low | Restricted access to facilities, configuration management processes. | Network traffic patterns are constantly monitored. When scans or denial of service attacks are detected, we activate perimeter router packet filters. |
| | | REE | Low | Communication equipment will be protected by restricting access to REE facilities. | The cause of the inappropriate access will be identified and preventive measures will be taken against future such access. |
| | | NREN | High | NREN Configuration Management Procedures | The cause of the inappropriate access will be identified and preventive measures will be taken against future breaches. |
| | | LT | Low | Physical security and access control of equipment is in place. | LT reports any observed access to Security, and when approved, restores or replaces equipment. |

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Total Risk Exposure | Risk Reduction Policies and Activities | Contingency Policies and Activities |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|---|---|
| Communication equipment (concluded) | Communication equipment failure | CAS | High | Maintenance agreements, backup equipment, configuration management, preventive maintenance. | Operational procedures invoked, event escalation plans implemented. |
| | | ESS | Medium | Maintenance agreements, backup equipment, configuration management, preventive maintenance. | Restore as described in GSFC Code 930 AIS Contingency Plan, May 2000, especially Section 4.3. |
| | | REE | Medium | External users may have their own hardware systems that can be used temporarily in lieu of access to the REE testbed. | Hardware development systems consisting of a few nodes can be shipped on loan within days to impacted external users. |
| | | NREN | High | NREN Configuration Management Procedures | Communications equipment components can be shipped on loan within days to support impacted users |
| | | LT | High | Load distribution | Service from another site |

Table 4: Risk reduction and contingency policy and activities identification (continued).

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Total Risk Exposure | Risk Reduction Policies and Activities | Contingency Policies and Activities |
|---|---|---------|---------------------|---|--|
| Computers and ancillary equipment (continued) | Inappropriate computer access (continued) | CAS | High | <p>SSH/OPIE Project</p> <p>Restricted access to center and card key protection of computer facilities. Security model developed and implemented. Systems isolated from web access, password protection, systems monitoring/scanning, publication and enforcement of security policies. Access by non-US citizens screened.</p> <p>SSH/OPIE project plan exists in the directory, lou:~tweten/Ssh_Project either as sshplan.pdf or sshplan.ps.</p> | <p>NAS Policy 16 – Incident Response</p> <p>NAS Policy 16 exists at: http://in.nas.nasa.gov/Groups/Security/policies/IG/incidents.html</p> |
| | | ESS | Medium | <p>Restricted access to center and card key protection of computer facilities. Security model developed and implemented. Systems isolated from web access, password protection, systems monitoring/scanning, publication and enforcement of security policies. Access by non-US citizens screened.</p> | <p>Recover as described in GSFC Code 930 AIS Contingency Plan, May 2000, especially Section 4.1 and Appendix A.</p> |
| | | REE | High | <p>Access is password controlled. Access by non-U.S. citizens is granted only following review and approval by the JPL Foreign Affairs Office. Sensitive data/software is firewalled.</p> | <p>In case of an inappropriate access, all access may be cut off until a corrective measure is determined and implemented.</p> |

Table 4: Risk reduction and contingency policy and activities identification (continued).

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Total Risk Exposure | Risk Reduction Policies and Activities | Contingency Policies and Activities |
|---|---|---------|---------------------|---|---|
| Computers and ancillary equipment (concluded) | Inappropriate computer access (concluded) | NREN | Low | NREN Computer Systems have restricted user access. | The cause of the inappropriate access will be identified and preventive measures will be taken against future breaches. |
| | | LT | Low | LT has physically secured hardware and computer equipment. | LT Staff members are trained to observe unusual conditions. We report any of these observations to the Operations Center and continue work, repair or replace equipment when authorized to. |
| | Computer equipment degradation or failure | CAS | Medium | Maintenance Contracts, configuration management, preventive maintenance. | Operational procedures invoked, event escalation plans implemented. |
| | | ESS | Medium | Maintenance Contracts, configuration management, preventive maintenance. | Restore as described in GSFC Code 930 AIS Contingency Plan, May 2000, especially Section 4.3. |
| | | REE | Medium | Proper environmental conditions will be maintained and spares of critical components will be kept in stock. Alternate hardware is available through the Level Zero Testbed. | Failed components will be replaced. The conditions that led to the failure will be corrected. Users may temporarily move operations to the Level Zero Testbed. |
| | | NREN | Low | Proper environmental conditions will be maintained and spares of critical components will be kept in stock. | Failed components will be replaced. The conditions that led to the failure will be corrected. |
| | | LT | Low | LT performs periodic tests of activity and performance. | Service from another site while repairing or replacing as necessary. |

Table 4: Risk reduction and contingency policy and activities identification (continued).

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Total Risk Exposure | Risk Reduction Policies and Activities | Contingency Policies and Activities |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Facilities that house IT resources (continued) | Inappropriate facility access | CAS | Medium | Restricted access to center and card key protection of computer facilities. | Staff members are encouraged to challenge unfamiliar or unbadged people in secured areas, and to call Center security if a challenge seems unwise or if they don't get a satisfactory response. All HPCC computers are kept in secured areas. Outside normal business hours the whole building becomes a secured area, providing a buffer. |
| | | ESS | Medium | Restricted access to center and card key protection of computer facilities. | Recover as described in GSFC Code 930 AIS Contingency Plan, May 2000, especially Section 4.1 and Appendix A. |
| | | REE | Low | The JPL Oak Grove Facility is guard protected and the REE laboratory is locked after business hours. | The circumstances that led to the inappropriate access will be corrected. |
| | | NREN | Medium | All NREN communications facilities are guard protected and locked at all times | The circumstances that led to the inappropriate access will be corrected. |
| | | LT | Medium | Facilities have physical security and access controls in place. | Service from another site |

Table 4: Risk reduction and contingency policy and activities identification (continued).

| IT Resource | Risk | Project | Total Risk Exposure | Risk Reduction Policies and Activities | Contingency Policies and Activities |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--|---|
| Facilities that house IT resources (concluded) | Facilities damaged or destroyed | CAS | Medium | Routine backups of data and software. All required and reasonable safety and security precautions implements. | NAS Disaster Recovery Plan |
| | | ESS | Medium | Routine backups of data and software. All required and reasonable safety and security precautions implemented. | Restore as described in GSFC Code 930 AIS Contingency Plan, May 2000, especially Section 4.3. |
| | | REE | Medium | All required and reasonable safety and security precautions will be taken. | Alternative facilities will be identified and utilized while damaged facilities are repaired. |
| | | NREN | Low | Usage of alternate facilities | Alternative facilities will be identified and utilized while damaged facilities are repaired. |
| | | LT | Low | Alternate facilities | Service from another site |

Table 4: Risk reduction and contingency policy and activities identification (concluded).

IT SECURITY PLAN REVIEW AND UPDATE

The NASA HPCC Program IT Security Plan will be updated at least annually to reflect current IT resources, risks, and risk reduction and contingency policies and activities.